

## **Hallaton 19 – Extension site off East Norton Road (PART SITE)**

### **1. Overview**

This Strategic Sustainability Assessment (SSA) is a comparison of housing supply options to be used for Neighbourhood Plan (NP) making purposes. The level of detail provided is appropriate for this purpose and is proportionate to the requirement of meeting the Basic Conditions. The SSA is not a substitute for detailed professional assessments of site viability and other legal or regulatory matters that will require approval as a part of testing a residential planning application. The SSA is a community led process and does not contain detailed professional site investigations and the SSA should be read and understood in this context.

Through undertaking the SSA the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee (NPAC) are identifying the least environmentally damaging and therefore the most sustainable locations are prioritised for potential residential development. The approach uses publicly available data including from the local authority Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA), Natural England, the Environment Agency, Rowmaps and Googlemaps etc. A site visit has been undertaken to determine the locational context but the site itself has not been accessed in detail during the SSA.

Locally important factors have been considered and it is recommended that the wider community comment on the result of the SSA's to help support a ranking of the potential sites. The SSA's are only a part of any potential development site selection, it is a best practise tool to rank potential sites in a NP and the methodology is accepted by developers, land owners, Local Authorities and Planning Inspectors as being robust and proportionate for this task. The SSA's are fully compliant with the National Planning Policy Framework (2012) advice and guidance.

Working in partnership with landowners and Harborough District Council (HDC) will enable a positive SSA process that meets the housing target and affordable housing requirements in HDC's emerging Local Plan.

### **2. Site Selection Criteria**

A scoring system for the residential sites based on a traffic light (Red, Amber or Green - RAG) score is used. Twenty seven indicators are evaluated and the site with the highest green rating score is the one which is ranked as being the most sustainable.

- Red is scored for a negative assessment where significant mitigation is required;  
A red scoring site will not usually be allocated for development if higher scoring sites are available.
- Amber is scored where there are negative elements to the site and costly/disruptive mitigation measures will be required;  
An amber scoring site will require remediation works to be developed, it may be allocated if no green scoring sites are available.
- Green is scored for a positive assessment with no major constraints on residential development.  
A green scoring site can be developed subject to owner and community support, market demands, full planning consent and financial viability.

Within the different scoring categories sites will be ranked on their individual score - effectively green minus red scores.

Contact Details	
<b>Name(s) of Assessor(s)</b>	Derek Doran BSc (Hons) MCIH MBA – Your Locale

Site - Details	
<b>Site summary :</b>	No HDC SHLAA appraisal, although recorded serious reservations about constraints to development nearby due to severe Highways restrictions in this part of the village.
<b>Site name and address:</b>	Extension site off East Norton Road

Site – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings & Constraints		RAG Rating
<b>Site area and capacity:</b>	1HA – Approximately 20 units (3 bed houses).	Amber
<b>Current Use:</b>	The site comprises of a large field currently used for grazing, this farm use would need to be relocated.	Amber
<b>Adjoining Uses:</b>	The site sits in very open Countryside and is surrounded on three sides by arable fields in current use, the fourth boundary is the recreation ground. The site is wholly outside of the current (and draft new) village envelope and it has a very rural, open countryside aspect with panoramic open vistas to three aspects.	Red
<b>Topography:</b>	A sloping site with ground levels that will require minor mitigation.	Amber
<b>Ridge and Furrow:</b>	This site is a part of a non-designated heritage asset. Destruction of a known Historic England example such as this is one is in direct contravention of the Neighbourhood Plan environment policy 5 and is poor practise. Excellent ridge and furrow to a large section of the site, scores a 4.	Red
<b>Greenfield or Previously Developed Land?</b>	A greenfield site.	Red
<b>Good Quality Agricultural Land?</b>	The whole site is classified as grade 3 agricultural land by Natural England, this is agricultural land of a good to moderate quality.	Amber

<b>Site – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings &amp; Constraints</b>		<b>RAG Rating</b>
<b>Site availability - Single ownership or multiple ownership?</b>	Multiple ownership, agreement to proceed.	Amber
<b>Landscape &amp; Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA)</b>	The view from this location is stunning, several very long distance panoramic views are found and the location is of a very rural character and is of a very high LVIA quality. The site is within the High Leicestershire Landscape Character Area, the most sensitive location in Harborough District. The site is surrounded on all sides by mature planting, with open vistas to all three aspects. Development would cause substantial harm to the quality and the amenity of adjoining residents and undermine the “feel” of this side of the village.	Red
<b>Important Trees, Woodlands &amp; Hedgerows?</b>	Several large, mature trees are dotted around the boundaries, hedgerows bound the whole site to all four boundaries and individual mature trees are found within the site- all of these will features will need to be fully protected. Development would harm or require of hedgerow.	Amber
<b>Relationship with existing pattern of built development?</b>	The site has a very prominent visibility and would extend the village envelope in a non-contiguous fashion which is generally unacceptable in planning terms.	Red
<b>Local Biodiversity considerations?</b>	Minor issues, a score of 2.	Green
<b>Listed Building or important built assets?</b>	No harm identified to existing listed buildings or their respective settings.	Green
<b>Impact on the Conservation Area or its setting?</b>	The whole site is outside of the Hallaton conservation area, however, development of this scale would cause less than substantial harm.	Amber
<b>Safe pedestrian access to and from the site?</b>	An existing footpath is in place along East Norton Road, it would be fairly straightforward to add an additional footway in to the site and this would ensure good pedestrian connectivity with the village centre.	Amber
<b>Safe vehicular access to and from the site?</b>	Although no adequate vehicular provision is in situ it is reasonably straightforward to provide an access from East Norton Road, subject to the width and visibility splay being agreed with highways. One particular concern from HDC is the Highways view in the 2016 SHLAA report that only “one” site is currently achievable to the North of the village. Vehicular access may well be impossible due its sensitive location.	Amber
<b>Impact on existing vehicular traffic?</b>	A medium scale impact on the village centre.	Amber

<b>Site – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings &amp; Constraints</b>		<b>RAG Rating</b>
<b>Distance to designated village centre, Stenning Hall.</b>	A walking distance of about 340m to the village centre community facilities.	Amber
<b>Distance to Primary school.</b>	Hallaton CE Primary school is approximately 530m from the centre of the site.	Red
<b>Current existing informal/formal recreational opportunities on site?</b>	None identified on the site but the village recreation ground is in the next field, with tennis courts on the boundary.	Green
<b>Ancient monuments or archaeological remains?</b>	None found on the site.	Green
<b>Any public rights of ways/bridle paths?</b>	None identified.	Green
<b>Gas, oil, pipelines and networks &amp; electricity transmission network?</b>	A telephone cable is found on the edge of the site and this will require re-siting.	Amber
<b>Any noise issues?</b>	No major noise issues identified, occasional noise from the adjacent highway, nearby public house or recreation ground.	Green
<b>Any contamination issues?</b>	None identified	Green
<b>Any known flooding issues?</b>	The site is within flood zone 1 and does not appear to require a professional hydrology survey.	Green
<b>Any drainage issues?</b>	Minor pooling on site, a large pond is found to the South of the site and several natural springs are in place along with a “filled in” brook, all require further investigation but can be readily mitigated.	Amber

Site – Sustainability criteria relating to Location, Surroundings & Constraints	RAG Rating
<p data-bbox="638 371 750 400"><b>Red - 6</b></p> <p data-bbox="638 427 795 456"><b>Amber - 13</b></p> <p data-bbox="638 483 772 512"><b>Green - 8</b></p>	<p data-bbox="1915 392 2116 488"><b>A GREEN SCORING SITE of 2.</b></p>